

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United states Patient and Trademark Office Address: COMMASSIONUR FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot 1450 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,153	02/13/2001	Takeshi Kokado	2001_0153A	9451
513	7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
2033 K STRI SUITE 800	EET N. W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-1021		2154	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advison Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/781,153	KOKADO ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFR	, which 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, eve	e extension fee action; or (2) as en if timely filed,		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS	, p				
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			TOL 224)		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		impliant Amendment (P	IOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendment	canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		ll be entered and an exp	olanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: More AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> b vit or other evidence is n	pe entered ecessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance	e because:		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
— — //					
JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 35,52,53,59,60, and 68 raises in issues that would require further consideration and/or search.